



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

H.A

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/624,260	07/22/2003	Foot Shen Wong	02-0146	7340
41066	7590	06/26/2006	EXAMINER	
WAGNER, MURABITO & HAO, LLP TWO NORTH MARKET STREET, THIRD FLOOR SAN JOSE, CA 95113			PARRIES, DRU M	
		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		2836		

DATE MAILED: 06/26/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/624,260	WONG ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Dru M. Parries	2836

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 May 2006.
- 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.
- 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) Claim(s) 1-16 is/are rejected.
- 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) The drawing(s) filed on 22 July 2003 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) All b) Some * c) None of:
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|---|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ . |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ . | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ . |

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed May 16, 2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Regarding the added limitation to claims 1, 4, 7, and 11, the Examiner believes that Alfrey teaches that limitation. See the rejection below.

Claim Objections

2. Claim 15 is objected to because of the following informalities: the Examiner believes the Applicant made a mistake on the amendment, and meant to strikethrough the word "first", and not underline it. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 1-8 and 10-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Carobolante (6,084,378) and Alfrey (2003/0103364). Carobolante teaches four power switches forming an H-bridge circuit selectively coupled to supply current to a load. He also teaches a plurality of power switch driving circuits (not shown) to control the conduction state of the power switches to selectively couple at least two power switches to a PWM signal. Carobolante teaches two modes of operation: a linear mode for periods of low current consumption and a

PWM mode for periods of higher current consumption. He also teaches the idea of adding additional circuitry to drive the load with linear current. (Col. 1, lines 30-31; Col. 2, lines 17-23, 29-31; Col. 3, lines 40-46; Col. 14, lines 18-20, 22-29; Fig. 1). Carobolante fails to teach two current sources, the load being a thermal electrical cooler, and what the direction of current through the load defines. Alfrey teaches a linear H-Bridge circuit with for supplying current to a load, such as a thermoelectric cooler. He also teaches the direction of current through the load to define a cooling or heating mode. He also teaches the circuit comprising two current sources (Fig. 7, 7A; 17 & 19) with current source switches (601), wherein one source is coupled to the load during a first period and the other is coupled during a second period via current source switches ([0005] & [0044]). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to implement a thermoelectric cooler as the load and define the direction of current as either a cooling or heating mode because some applications of an H-Bridge circuit are used specifically for thermoelectric coolers and the heating and cooling modes are necessary for the cooler to function properly (inherent). It also would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to implement two current sources in the circuit to control the magnitude of the current being supplied to the load.

5. Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Carobolante (6,084,378) and Alfrey (2003/0103364) as applied to claim 7 above, and further in view of Walter (2003/0155813). Carobolante and Alfrey teach an H-Bridge circuit as described above. The two references fail to teach a filter circuit coupled between some switches and the load. Walter teaches a filter circuit (34, 36) coupled between two of four switches and the load (Fig. 1;

[0034]). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate a filter circuit for attenuating harmonic distortion in the output voltage.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dru M. Parries whose telephone number is (571) 272-8542. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th from 8:00am to 5:00pm. The examiner can also be reached on alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brian Sircus, can be reached on 571-272-2800 x36. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

DMP

6-12-2006



ROBERT L. DEBERARDINO
PRIMARY EXAMINER